

# Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheet

## Low Gradient Streams

|                                 |
|---------------------------------|
| Stream Name _____               |
| Station # _____ Rivermile _____ |
| Lat _____ Long _____            |
| Storet # _____                  |

|                         |                                |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Form Completed By _____ | Date _____<br>Time _____ AM PM |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|

| Habit Parameter                                |   |   |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| <b>1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover</b> | Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover, mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient). | 30 - 50% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). | 10 - 30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. | Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   |  |
| <b>2. Pool Substrate Characterization</b>      | Mixture of substrate materials, with gravel and firm sand prevalent; root mats and submerged vegetation common.   | Mixture of soft sand, mud, or clay; mud may be dominant; some root mats and submerged vegetation present.   | All mud or clay or sand bottom; little or no root mat; no submerged vegetation.                                      | Hard-pan clay or bedrock; no root mat or vegetation.                                     |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   |  |
| <b>3. Pool Variability</b>                     | Even mix of large-shallow, large-deep, small-shallow, small-deep pools present.   | Majority of pools large-deep; very few shallow.   | Shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools.   | Majority of pools small-shallow or pools absent.   |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   |  |

|   |   |   |  |   |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| <b>4. Sediment Deposition</b>                 | Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient streams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.   | Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% (20-50% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.                                      | Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% (50%-80% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. | Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. |
| SCORE   | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>5. Channel Flow Status</b>                 | Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.   | Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.   | Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.  | Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.  |
| SCORE   | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>6. Channel Alteration</b>                  | Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.   | Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present. | Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.   | Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.   |
| SCORE   | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>7. Channel Sinuosity</b>                   | The bends in the stream increase the stream length 3 to 4 times longer than if it was in a straight line. (Note-channel braiding is considered normal in coastal plains and other low-lying areas. This parameter is not easily rated in these areas. | The bends in the stream length 2 to 3 times longer than if it was in a straight line.   | The bends in the stream increase the stream length 2 to 1 times longer than if it was in a straight line.  | Channel straight; waterway has been channelized for a long distance.  |
| SCORE   | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>8. Bank Stability</b><br>(score each bank) | Banks stable: evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.  | Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.  | Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.   | Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.   |
| SCORE ____ (LB)                               | Left Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3  | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)                               | Right Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3  | 2 1 0   |

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| <b>9. Vegetative Protection</b> (score each bank)<br><br>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream. | More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally | 70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining | 50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. | Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height. |
| SCORE ____ (LB)   | Left Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)   | Right Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |
| <b>10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width</b> (score each bank riparian zone)   | Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clearcuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.  | Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.  | Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.   | Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.   |
| SCORE ____ (LB)   | Left Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)   | Right Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |

# Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheet Mid Gradient Streams

|                                  |  |                             |  |                         |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Stream Name _____                |  | Location _____              |  |                         |
| Station # _____ River mile _____ |  | Stream Class _____          |  |                         |
| Lat _____ Long _____             |  | River Basin _____           |  |                         |
| Storet # _____                   |  | Agency _____                |  |                         |
| Investigators _____              |  |                             |  |                         |
| Form Completed By _____          |  | Date _____ Time _____ AM PM |  | Reason for Survey _____ |

  

| Habitat Parameter | <i>Condition Category</i> |             |          |      |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------|------|
|                   | Optimal                   | Sub optimal | Marginal | Poor |

  

|  |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| <b>1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover</b> | Greater than 50% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover, mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient). | 30 - 50% mix of stable habitat; well suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of new fall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). | 10 - 30% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. | Less than 10% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11   | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0  |

  

|   |   |  |  |   |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| <b>2. Pool Substrate Characterization</b> | Riffle substrate consists of gravel, cobble, and boulder particles that are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Pool substrates are a mixture of substrate materials with little to no deposition of fines and gravel or cobble prevalent. | Riffle substrate consists of gravel, cobble, and boulder particles that are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment. Pool substrates are a mixture of coarse to soft sand; some root mats and submerged vegetation may be present | Riffle substrate consists of gravel, cobble, and boulder particles that are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment. Pool substrates are soft silts or mud; root mats and submerged vegetation may be common. | Riffle substrate consists of gravel, cobble, and boulder particles that are 75-100% surrounded by fine sediment. Pool substrate may be all mud with root mat and submerged vegetation abundant. Niche space severely limited. |
| SCORE                                     | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11   | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |

  

|                                 |   |   |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| <b>3. Velocity/Depth Regime</b> | All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is <0.3 m/s, deep is >0.5 m.) | Only 3 of the 4 regimes present, and the majority of pools are large deep, with very few shallow. | Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present, with shallow pools much more prevalent than deep pools. | Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime with a few shallow pools or no pools present (usually slow-deep). |
| SCORE                           | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0  |

  

|                               |  |   |   |  |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| <b>4. Sediment Deposition</b> | Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 10% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition. | Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 10-40% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools. | Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 40-70% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. | Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 70% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. |
| SCORE                         | 20 19 18 17 16   | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6  | 5 4 3 2 1 0  |

  

|                               |   |   |   |  |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| <b>5. Channel Flow Status</b> | Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed. | Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed. | Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed. | Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools. |
| SCORE                         | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6  | 5 4 3 2 1 0  |

|  |  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| <b>6. Channel Alteration</b>   | Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.  | Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.  | Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.   | Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.   |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16   | 15 14 13 12 11   | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)</b>  | Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; variety of habitat is key.  | Occurrence of riffles relatively infrequent.   | Occasional riffle; bottom contours provide some habitat.   | Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat.  |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16   | 15 14 13 12 11   | 10 9 8 7 6   | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>8. Bank Stability</b><br>(score each bank)<br><br>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream | Banks stable: evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.   | Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.   | Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.   | Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.   |
| SCORE ____ (LB)  | Left Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6  | 5 4 3  | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)  | Right Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6  | 5 4 3  | 2 1 0   |
| <b>9. Vegetative Protection</b> (score each bank)  | More than 90% of the stream bank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, under story shrubs, or non woody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally | 70-90% of the stream bank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one half of the potential plant stubble height remaining | 50-70% of the stream bank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. | Less than 50% of the stream bank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of stream bank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height. |
| SCORE ____ (LB)  | Left Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6  | 5 4 3  | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)  | Right Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6  | 5 4 3  | 2 1 0   |
| <b>10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width</b> (score each bank riparian zone)                                    | Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e.: parking lots, roadbeds, clear cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.  | Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.   | Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.  | Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.   |
| SCORE ____ (LB)  | Left Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6  | 5 4 3  | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)  | Right Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6  | 5 4 3  | 2 1 0   |

# Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheet

## High Gradient Streams

|                                 |
|---------------------------------|
| Stream Name _____               |
| Station # _____ Rivermile _____ |
| Lat _____ Long _____            |
| Storet # _____                  |

|                         |                                |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Form Completed By _____ | Date _____<br>Time _____ AM PM |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|

| Habit Parameter                         |   |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover | Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover, mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient). | 40 - 70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale). | 20 - 40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed. | Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking. |
| SCORE                                   | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   |  |
| 2. Embeddedness                         | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche species.  | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.   | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.  | Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.     |
| SCORE                                   | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   |  |
| 3. Velocity/Depth Ragime                | All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Sow is <0.3 m/s, deep is >0.5 m.)  | Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).  | Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).                    | Dominated by 1 velocity/depth regime (usually slow-deep).                                |
| SCORE                                   | 20 19 18 17 16  | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6   |  |

|  |  |   |   |   |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| <b>4. Sediment Deposition</b>  | Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% (<20% for low-gradient streams) of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.  | Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% (20-50% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.                                      | Moderate deposition of f new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% for low-gradient) of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent. | Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% (80% for low-gradient) of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition. |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16   | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6  | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>5. Channel Flow Status</b>  | Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.  | Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.   | Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.   | Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.  |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16   | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6  | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>6. Channel Alteration</b>   | Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.  | Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present. | Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.  | Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.   |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16   | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6  | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)</b>  | Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important. | Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.   | Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.   | Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.   |
| SCORE  | 20 19 18 17 16   | 15 14 13 12 11  | 10 9 8 7 6  | 5 4 3 2 1 0   |
| <b>8. Bank Stability</b><br>(score each bank)<br><br>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream | Banks stable: evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.   | Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.  | Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.  | Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.   |
| SCORE ____ (LB)  | Left Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)  | Right Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| <b>9. Vegetative Protection</b> (score each bank)                         | More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally | 70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining | 50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining. | Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height. |
| SCORE ____ (LB)   | Left Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)   | Right Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |
| <b>10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width</b> (score each bank riparian zone) | Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e.: parking lots, roadbeds, clearcuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.  | Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.  | Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.   | Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.   |
| SCORE ____ (LB)   | Left Bank 10 9  | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |
| SCORE ____ (RB)   | Right Bank 10 9   | 8 7 6   | 5 4 3   | 2 1 0   |